



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

11A

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/525,958

10/20/2005

Robert Casper

101648.55966US

3066

23911 7590 03/15/2007
CROWELL & MORING LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
P.O. BOX 14300
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER

PINKNEY, DAWAYNE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2873

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/525,958	Applicant(s) CASPER ET AL.	
	Examiner DaWayne A. Pinkney	Art Unit 2873	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/20/2005</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/20/2005 was considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johansen et al. (US 5, 400, 175).

Regarding **claim 1**, Johansen discloses, A device for inhibiting melatonin suppressing light comprising:

Art Unit: 2873

means for selectively blocking light (Column 1, lines 21-25) having a wavelength capable of suppressing melatonin production in a human (Column 6, lines 44-51).

Regarding **claim 2**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 1, wherein the means for selectively blocking light is an optical filter (Column 7, lines 13-19, Column 16, lines 28-30 and Claim 1).

Regarding **claim 3**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 2, comprising the optical filter, which includes a polarizing layer (Column 15, lines 28-37 and Column 16, lines 23-24, lines 49-51 and Claims 2 and 3).

Regarding **claim 4**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 1, wherein the means for selectively blocking light is operable to substantially block light having a wavelength less than at or about 530 nm (Column 3, lines 15-25 and Column 15, lines 28-42).

Regarding **claim 5**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 4, wherein the means for selectively blocking light is operable to substantially block light having a wavelength of between at or about 470 nm and at or about 530nm (Column 3, lines 15-25 and Column 15, lines 28-42).

Regarding **claim 6**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 5, wherein the means for selectively blocking light is operable to substantially block light having a wavelength of between at or about 497 nm and at or about 530 nm (Column 3, lines 15-25 and Column 15, lines 28-42).

Regarding **claim 7**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 3, wherein the polarizing layer is a polarizing film (Column 3, lines 15-25 and Column 15, lines 28-42).

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding **claim 8**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 1, wherein the device further comprises an ultraviolet light absorber (Column 1, lines 21-25, Column 2, lines 48-54 and Column 15, lines 28-31).

Regarding **claim 9**, Johansen discloses, A device according to claim 1, comprising at least one of eyewear, a light bulb, a light cover and a lens (Column 1, lines 21-25, Column 3, lines 15-16 and Column 16, lines 44-45).

Regarding **claim 10**, Johansen discloses, A lens operable by a user who is exposed to melatonin suppressing light at peak melatonin production times (Column 1, lines 21-25), the lens comprising an optical filter operable to selectively block light having a wavelength capable of suppressing melatonin production in a user (Column 6, lines 44-51 and Column 15, lines 28-42).

Regarding **claim 11**, Johansen discloses, A lens according to claim 10, wherein the lens is incorporated in eyewear (Column 3, lines 15-16 and Column 16, lines 44-45).

Regarding **claim 12**, Johansen discloses, A lens according to claim 11, wherein the eyewear is selected from the group consisting of spectacles, goggles, contact lenses and safety glasses (Column 1, lines 21-25, Column 3, lines 15-16 and Column 16, lines 44-45).

Regarding **claim 17**, Johansen discloses, The use of a device according to claim 2, for the prevention or the suppression of melatonin production in a human, the filter being operable to selectively block light (Column 1, lines 21-25 and Column 15, lines 28-37), having a wavelength capable of suppressing melatonin production (Column 6, lines 44-51), from reaching the retina in a human (Column 17, lines 21-37).

Regarding **claim 18**, Johansen discloses, The use of a device according to claim 1, for the prevention or the suppression of melatonin production in a human, the filter being operable to

Art Unit: 2873

selectively block light (Column 1, lines 21-25 and Column 15, lines 28-37), having a wavelength capable of suppressing melatonin production (Column 6, lines 44-51), from reaching the retina in a human (Column 17, lines 21-37).

4. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Searfoss, III (US 6, 902, 296).

Regarding **claim 13**, Searfoss teaches, A light device (Column 2, lines 8-10) comprising an optical filter operable to selectively block light from the light device (Column 4, lines 60-65) having a wavelength capable of promoting melatonin production in a human (Column 1, lines 21-43 and Column 2, lines 49-51).

Regarding **claim 14**, Searfoss discloses, A light device according to claim 13, wherein the light device is chosen from an incandescent light source, a fluorescent light source or any other artificial light source (Column 4, lines 60-65).

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kirschner (US 6, 019, 476).

Regarding **claim 16**, Kirschner discloses, A light cover for use with a light device, the cover comprising: an optical filter operable to selectively block light from the light device (Column 1, lines 15-16) having a wavelength capable of suppressing melatonin production in a human (Column 1, lines 12-15 and lines 55-62), the cover being operable to releasably attach to the light source to channel the light emitted from the light source there through (Column 4, lines 22-23).

Art Unit: 2873

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Searfoss, III (US 6, 902, 296).

The cited primary reference, Searfoss, remains as applied to **claim 13 above**.

The cited primary reference does not teach the optical filter is a coating on at least one surface of the device.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an optical filter that is a coating on at least one surface of the device because all light devices having light filtering properties include coatings (absorption, interference, etc.) that provide the light filtering.

Conclusion


9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following disclosures substantially teach a device for inhibiting melatonin suppressing light comprising a means for selectively blocking light having a wavelength capable of suppressing melatonin production in a human: Gott (US 5, 274, 403), Ambler et al. (US 2004/0246437), Johansen et al. (US 5, 177, 509), Johansen et al. (US 4, 878, 748), Stephans et al. (US 4, 952, 046), Bambury et al. (US 4, 719, 248), Laliberte (US 3, 826, 751), Tamura et al. (US 5, 926, 310).


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DaWayne A. Pinkney whose telephone number is (571) 270-1305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thurs. 8 a.m.- 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on (571) 270-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


DAP


Scott J. Sugarman
Primary Examiner